Palaung Dialects: A Preliminary Comparison

Yasuyuki MITANI*

Introduction

Scarcity of good data is always a serious problem for historical studies of Mon-Khmer. In the case of the Palaungic branch,¹⁾ a certain amount of data has been accumulated and historical studies are now in some progress, but still the amount of information of almost every language of the branch is very limited. Palaung is rather an exception to this, with a big dictionary of English-Palaung and Palaung-English by Milne.²⁾ But this is just one dialect of Palaung, that of Namhsan, the capital of the former state of Tawngpeng, and other dialects, which are apparently many and diverse, are mostly only poorly known.

But we should not expect too much for Mon-Khmer linguistics. Apart from Milne's Palaung, which is called Ta-ang (Ta.) by the natives, we have data of some other dialects such as the following: Darang (Da.) of Kengtung, recorded by Stirling and included in Scott & Hardiman's GUB^{3} ; Ra-ang (Ra.), or Luce's 'Panku' Palaung, originally spoken in the Kodaung Tract⁴; and Rumai (Ru.), in the Appendix of Milne's dictionary, which was collected in villages around the China-Burma border.

There are short word-lists of many other dialects, but in this paper I would like to compare these four dialects discussing the phonological correspondences between them. Each dialect shows certain characteristic developments, and Ta-ang is the most conservative in this point but it has also lost certain features of 'Proto-Palaung'.

Other dialects will be mentioned only occasionally, but here I would like to present a tentative classification of those Palaung dialects of which data are available to me.⁵⁾

1. Central Group

a) Ta-ang: Milne's Palaung, Shorto's Palaung (Namhsan, Tawngpeng)

^{*} 三谷恭之, The Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto University.

¹⁾ The Palaungic branch of Mon-Khmer includes Palaung, Riang, Danaw, Wa-Lawa, Lamet, and several other minor languages, spoken in the Shan State of Burma, northern Thailand and Laos, and the adjacent areas of China. Cf. M. Ferlus, "Les langues du groupe austroasiatique-nord", ASEMI, V-1, 1974, pp. 39-67.

²⁾ Leslie Milne, A Dictionary of English-Palaung and Palaung-English, Rangoon, 1931.

³⁾ J.G. Scott and J.P. Hardiman (eds.), Gazetteer of Upper Burma and the Shan States, Vol. 1, Pt. 1, Rangoon, 1900, pp. 707-709.

⁴⁾ G.H. Luce, "Danaw, A Dying Austroasiatic Language," in Milner and Henderson (eds.), Indo-Pacific Linguistic Studies, Vol. 1, 1965, pp. 98-129.

⁵⁾ For the sources, see Ferlus, op. cit. 'Yeseji' was collected by Diffloth in 1971.

- b) 'Palaung or Rumai of Nam Hsan' in GUB (id.)
- c) Kumkaw, in the Appendix of Milne's dictionary (Tawngpeng)
- d) Kwawnhai, id.
- e) Pangnim, id.
- 2. Northern Group
 - A. Ra-ang: Luce's Palaung (Kodaung)
 - B. Rumai Group
 - a) Milne's Rumai (China-Burma border area)
 - b) Davies' Palaung (Nam Kham)
 - c) Bigandet's Palaung (southeast of Bhamo)
 - d) 'Rumai in the Shan States' in GUB
- 3. Southern Group
 - a) Darang, in GUB (Kengtung)
 - b) Yeseji, or Diffloth's Palaung (Pindaya near Taunggyi)
 - c) Kyusao, in the Appendix of Milne's dictionary (Hsipaw)
 - d) 'Palaung or Rumai in the neighborhood of Manton' in GUB (Manton)
- 4. Omachawn, in the Appendix of Milne's dictionary (Tawngpeng)
- 5. Unclassified
 - a) Hupawng, id.
 - b) Homau, id.: Central Group (?)

I Initials

The Proto-Palaung initials, as reconstructed from the four dialects, are the following:

* p	t	\mathbf{c}	k	þ	\mathbf{pr}	\mathbf{pl}	kr	kl
ph	(th)	(ch)	kh				khr	
b	d	j	g		\mathbf{br}	bl	\mathbf{gr}	
m	n	ñ	Ŋ					
hm	hm		hŋ					
v		y			r	1		
f	S	hy		h	hr	hl		

There are no difficult problems in the reconstruction of these initials. They have been preserved in most cases without modification in Ta-ang, with only a few exceptional cases which will be mentioned later. Especially, Ta-ang has not undergone the devoicing of originally voiced stops, which is a common phenomenon in the related laguages, often accompanied by the appearance of a register or tone distinction or a split of vowels.

However, as was pointed out by Shafer,⁶⁾ a different type of changes occurred in Darang: originally voiceless (unaspirated) stops have become voiced, and originally voiced stops have become voiceless; i.e. *p>b, *t>d(>l, n), *c>y, *k>g; *b>p, *d>t, *j>ch(>sh, hs), *g>k.⁷⁾

Voiceless aspirated stops, however, have not been voiced. Thus, Darang provides an additional example of an 'exchange rule' of sound change⁸⁾:

$$[\alpha \text{ voice}] \rightarrow [-\alpha \text{ voice}] / \begin{bmatrix} - \\ +\text{stop} \\ -\text{asp} \end{bmatrix}$$

Another type of change can be observed in Ra-ang. There, *p- and *t- when not followed by *-r- or -l- have often, though not always, become voiced implosives b- and d-, but *p- before *-r- or -l- and *k- are never voiced (i.e. Khmer type), 9) and original voiced stops are preserved. 10) The condition for preserving *p-, t- as voiceless is not clear; the items include numerals, but also a few common words such as "woman, wife" and "tree". In addition, *p- sometimes corresponds to b- when b- is expected, if this is not an error in the original data.

Examples:

1	170100	OCC	111200	niratad	cto1	~~
1) VOICE	IC33	umas	pirated	SW	vs

*p-	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"flower"	pōh	pō	бъ́h	bogh
"broom"	ra-p ī r	la-pī	kăbıh	-
"dream"	rīn-pō	ām-pa-ō	m-báu	m'bao
"seven"	p ū r	р ū	pu	bu
"woman, wife"	ī-pān, -pön	i-pan(g)	é ı-p an	i-bun
*t-				
"hand, arm"	tī	tai	đáı, đéı	dai, lai
"earth"	ka-tē	ka-tai	kădái, -dái	ka-dai

⁶⁾ R. Shafer, "Etudes sur l'austroasien", BSLP 48-1 (1952), pp. 111-158, esp. see pp. 113 ff.

⁷⁾ The conditions for *t>d,l,n, and *j> ch,sh,hs are not clear; but *t>n and *j>ch,sh are rare. There are a few exceptions for *k>g, especially before -r-, -l-.

⁸⁾ N. Chomsky and M. Halle, Sound Pattern of English, 1968, pp. 256ff. If Stirling's notation is accurate, voiceless stops from original voiceless aspirated stops and original voiced stops are both unaspirated in Darang. Thus one could postulate the following changes in this order: (i) *voiced>voiceless asp., merging with *voiceless asp.; (ii) *voiceless unasp.>voiced; (iii) voiceless asp.>voiceless unasp. However, in Yeseji, which is very close to Darang, *voiced>voiceless unasp., *voiceless asp.>voiceless asp., and *voiceless unasp.>voiced; e.g. "big" *dan>ta", "house" *gan>ka", "four" *phon>phuon, "gold" *khril>khriw, "child" *kon>gon, "wife" *ptun>bən.

^{9) *}c- is not attested in Ra-ang.

¹⁰⁾ But *j->tj- in one item "heavy" tjan<*jan.

"tongue"	kạr-tā	s'a-tā	săɗa ^p	s'la
"tree"	tīng, töng	t a ng	taŋ, tɪŋ, téŋ	dang
"eight"	tā		ta	n'da
"six"	tōr, tō	tō	to	naw
*c-				
"hate"	chặng			yawng
"sambhur"	chă	kyă(?)		ya
*k-				
"child"	kwōn	kōn	kon	gawn
"husked rice"	ra-kō	la-kau	răkáu	t'gao
"head"	kīng	kēn, kṻn	kèŋ, kaıŋ	ging
"ten"	kör	kö	k¥	gö
*pr-				Ü
"old"	prīm	a-py ī m	ăprim	
"side (of body)"	-	pyō-i		
*pl-	1	176		
"blade"	plā		pla	bla
"sky"	plēng	pl ā n	pléŋ	
*kr-	18	F	P .	
"buffalo"	kră	kyă	kra ⁹	gra
"lac"	kröt	kyō-ε, kyū-ε	kr¥i ²	
*kl-				
"fat"	klīng	klān		glaing
"rain"		klai	kláı, klóı	glai
ii) voiceless aspira	ted stops		,	3
*ph-	•			
"four"	p'ōn	p ʻ ūn	p' un	pu-on
"five"	p'ạn	p ʻā n	p'an	p ā n
"heart"	p'öm	p'ēm		
*th-(?)				
"slap"	ka-t'ā	t'a		
*ch-(?)				
"ginger"		chōng	cʻo:ŋ	
"pretty, good"		chī		chit
*kh-				
"husk of grain"	k'ām	1.4	k'am	
"scrape"	k'āt	k'a		

Y. MITANI: Palaung Dialects

*khr-				
"gold"	k'r ī r	k'yī		kriu
iii) voiced stops				
*b-				
"sword, knife"	b ō t	bō	but	pu-wat
"breast, milk"	b u	mb ū ("suck")	bu	pu
*d-				
"big"	d ā ng	dān, ε-dāng		t ā ng
"navel"	kạr-dịng	ka-dīn, -d $\bar{\epsilon}$ n	kădan	
*j-				
"foot"	jṻ̃ng	j a ng, -jan	djén, djan	cheng
"drop, fall"	j <mark>ē</mark> h	jō, jō		shogh
"name"	jū, ra-jū	djū	jய	an-hsü
"stand"	j ạ ng	(D. jang) ¹¹⁾		hsawng
*g-				
"house"	g ā ng		ga:ŋ	kāng
"old"		gā	gat	kat
*br-				
"forest"	br ī	bi-aī	bréi	prai
"horse"	brāng	mbi -ā ng	braŋ	m'praw $\eta^{12)}$
*bl-				
"thigh"	blŭ	ble-au	bléu, bláu	plao
"strong"		bl ō m, bl u m		plōm
*gr-				
"pestle"	gr ē		ŋ-gréi, -grái	
"basket"		gyūk		kruik

Sometimes aspirated stops occur randomly in Ta-ang, Rumai or Ra-ang corresponding to the voiced in Darang, and voiceless stops, especially k-, occur in Darang when other dialects have unaspirated stops.¹³⁾ They usually correspond to unaspirated in other Palaungic languages (e.g. Riang), and most probably they were unaspirated also in Proto-Palaung, but no convincing explanation has been attained so far.

¹¹⁾ Davies' Palaung, from H.R. Davies, Yun-nan: the Link between India and Yangtze, 1909.

The initials of "horse" and "pestle" (below) no doubt go back to *mr- and *nr- respectively. But at the Proto-Palaungic stage they seem to have become *m-br- and *n-gr- already.

¹³⁾ Even within Ta-ang, Shorto says the lexical distribution of aspirated stops is somewhat differently recorded by him and by Milne; e.g. "bear" krer (but "gold" khrir). H.L. Shorto, "Word and Syllable Patterns in Palaung", BSOAS 23 (1960), pp. 544-567.

東南アジア研究 15巻2号

*p-	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	Ri. ¹⁴⁾
"barking deer"	p'ōr		bəh, bəx, bəs	bwa	pos-
"wing"	pyāng	pyǭng	pʻíəŋ, pʻyuŋ		piaŋ-
*t-					
"nine"	t 'ī m	(D. tim)	ti:m	lim	ti:m-
"yellow"	t' ē ng	t'āng		deng, -leng	
*k-, kr-					
"wind, air"	k u r	kū	k'u	kun	kur-
"rịch"	krīm	kyām		kram	
"bear"	k'rēr		kr ı h, krı χ		kres-

Proto-Palaung *s- can be reconstructed from the correspondence of s- in all four dialects in an obvious way. However, a problem arises when Bigandet's Palaung (RuB.) is compared.¹⁵⁾ In RuB., s- of the other dialects corresponds sometimes to s- but sometimes to ts-.

	Ta.	Ru.	RuB.	Ra.	Da.
"sick, painful"	s'ū	s'e-au	tsao	séu	sau
"elephant"	s ā ng	sāng	tsang	sa:ŋ	sāng
"dog"	s'̄Q̄	sʻa-ō	tsao		so
"bird"	s'īm, shīm	(D. s'im)	$_{ m sim}$	$_{ m sim}$	***
"nīght"	r <u>ī</u> n-s'öm	kai-s'ēm	kai-sem		

This suggests a proto-phoneme *ts- even at the stage of Proto-Palaung. However, there is a similar problem in Shan also. There, s- of most other Tai dialects, usually reconstructed as *s- and *z- depending on the tone, corresponds to /sh-/, and this phoneme is, as described by Egerod, [(t)sh]. In fact, Bigandet's Shan has usually ts- for this PT. *s-; e.g. "tiger" tseu < PT. *sua-0, "four" tsi < PT. *sii-1. If PT. *s->(t)sh- really occurred in Shan, the same phenomenon could have occurred also in Palaung, the Palaungs being in much contact with the Shans, and RuB. ts-, s- in question could be the reflex of such a situation. Thus I have tentatively decided to retain *s- for Proto Palaung.

¹⁴⁾ Riang forms are cited mainly from Luce, op. cit.

¹⁵⁾ P.A. Bigandet, "A Comparative Vocabulary of Shan, Ka-kying and Pa-laong," Journal of Indian Archipelago, n.s. 2 (1858), pp. 221-229.

¹⁶⁾ Diffloth pointed out that s- of Palaung and most other Palaungic languages corresponds to ts- in Danaw and hence it goes back to Proto-Palaungic *ts-. G. Diffloth, "An Appraisal of Benedict's Views on Austroasiatic and Austro-Thai Relations." Discussion Paper No. 82, CSEAS, Kyoto, 1976.

S. Egerod, "Essentials of Shan Phonology and Script", BIHP (Tapiei), 29-1 (1957), pp. 121-129. Generally, Proto-Tai (i.e. Proto- Southwestern Tai) *c-, j- became (t)s- and *ch-(rare) became (t)sh- in Shan; i.e. *c-, j->ts->(t)s-, and *ch->tsh->(t)sh-. The problem is PT. *s-, z- also became (t)sh-. E.g. "heart" *cw-0>(t)saw, "correct" *jaw-1>(t)saw, "tear" *chiik>(t)shìk, "four" *sii-1>(t)shì, "left" *zaay-2>(t)shâay. If *tsh- and *dz- are reconstructed for *s-, *z-, *dz- must have become unaspirated (t)s-, for voiced stops usually became voiceless unaspirated in Shan.

Proto-Palaung *r- has become g-, gw- and *hr- has become h-, hw- in Rumai.¹⁸⁾ There are a few other minor changes especially in Rumai, but generally there is no special problem for the rest of initials.

Examples.

* ⁻ -, h-	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"sleep"	īt	I	i P	it
"skin"	h u r	hū	hu	hu-in
*m-, n-, ñ-, ŋ-				
"mother"	m ā	mā	ma	ma
"heart"	nu- ā r	nō	noh, nauh	nogh
"green"	ny ār¹⁹⁾	nyữ, i-yữ		nyen
"eye"	ngāī	ngāi	ηάι, ηόι	ngai
*hm-, hn-, hŋ-				
"ask"	hm ā n		hman, hmon	-
"blood"	hnām	B. hnam	hnam	nām
"paddy"	$\mathrm{hng}ar{ar{\mathbf{Q}}}$	$(hnyau)^{20}$	h ŋ áu	ngo
*v-, y-, r-, l-				
"return"	vīr	vī, wī	vwi	
"die"	y ạ m	je- a m, jy a m ²¹⁾	yam	yam
"silver"	rūn	g <mark>ō</mark> n	r¥n	rŏn
"needle"	pạn-lĕ	bāṇg-lăt	p ăl e ^p	ma-laik
*f-, hy-, hr-, hl-				
"monkey"	fā	B. fa	fa	
"ear"	hyŏ	s'ŏ, chŏ²²)	hyu ⁹	heo, -hyo
"tooth"	hr a ng	hāng, hwāng	hraŋ	r ā ng
"leaf"	hl a	D. hla	hlα	hla

II Vowels

The following ten vowels can be reconstructed for Proto-Palaung:

¹⁸⁾ Medial *-r- has become -y- in Rumai, as can be seen in the examples or *pr-, *kr-, etc.

¹⁹⁾ Shorto /sər/.

^{20) *}hñ- in Rumai group: D. hneow, B. hgno, S.('Rumai of Shan States') hneao.

²¹⁾ In Rumai *y- is written variously: y-, j-, jy-, dj-, zy-, etc.

²²⁾ D. sok, S. hsok, B. hiok. Thus, *hy->s- in the Rumai group except RuB.

There are four central vowels: two long vowels *w and *a, and two short vowels *w and *\vec{a}. It is not clear whether front and back vowels also had a contrastive vowel length. There are a few 'irregular' correspondences which could be the reflexes of an original vowel length contrast (and/or final *-\vec{\gamma}), but the contrast was not reconstructed systematically.

1 Front Vowels

The correspondences of front vowels in open syllables have fairly many examples, and it is not difficult to reconstruct *-i, *-e, *-\varepsilon in the following way:

	Ta.	Ra.	Ru.	Da.	cf. Ri.
*-i	-1	-aī	- $\acute{ m e}$ ı $(>\acute{ m a}$ ı $)$	-ai	-i ⁷
*-e	-ē	-ōįi	$-\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{i}(>\acute{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{i})$	- e	^c 3-
*-E	$-ar{\epsilon}(ar{\epsilon})^{23)}$	-aī	$-\acute{a}i(>\acute{a}i)$	-ai	-e ⁷
cf. *-ay	-ai	-āī	$-\acute{\alpha}\mathbf{I}(>\acute{\sigma}\mathbf{I})$	-ai	-ai

Thus they have merged with *ay- in many cases, except in Ta-ang, where proto-vowels have remained without being diphthongized.

Examples.

*-i	Та.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"thou"	m ī	māi	m é ɪ	mai	mi ^{p\}
"sun, day"	s'a-ngī	$s'\epsilon$ - $ng\overline{ai}$	s ăŋé ı, -ŋóı	si-ngai	sʻəŋi ^{ʔ-}
"hand, arm"	tī	tai	đéi, đái	đai, lai	ti?-
"forest"	brī	bi-aī	bréi	prai	pri 🤉 🕻
*-e					
"wood, fuel"	hē	hō-i	héı, háı, hóı	hé	khe?-
"fruit"	plē	plō̞-i	pléı, pláı		ple?-
"witch"	brē		bréi		pre?\
"pestle"	grē		ŋ-gréi, -grái		-re ^{p1}
3-*					
"earth"	ka-t₹	ka-tāī	kădáı, -díı	ka-dai	kəte ⁹⁻
"new"	kạn-m $ar\epsilon$	ta-maī	kămáı, -móı	-	tənme ^{>\}
"rain"		klai	kláı, klóı	glai	$(kl\epsilon^-)$
"man, husband"	ī-mē	ε-m a ī	éı-máı, -móı	i-mai	-me ²¹
"shy, ashamed"	ka-sh ē	ka-sai	sới	-	kəse ?-
*-ay					
"tiger"	ra-vai	$l\epsilon$ - $v\overline{a}i$	răvwái, -vwói		rəvai'
"far"	s'a-ngaī		săŋáı, -ŋśı		s'əŋai '

²³⁾ The condition for $*-\varepsilon > -\overline{e}$ in Ta-ang is not clear.

Y. MITANI: Palaung Dialects

"widowed"	ka-māi	MARINE.	kămáı, -móı		kəmai '
"eye"	ngāi	ngai	ηάι, ηόι	ngai	ŋai\

However, there are several words in which Ta-ang has $-\bar{\epsilon}$ (or $-\bar{\epsilon}$) but the vowels are not diphthongized in the other dialects.

	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"you"	p₹		pὲ	b ē	ре ⁹⁻
"we"	ȳε		yéı(?)	у ē	
"they"	24)	kē	kè	g ē	
"one"	hlε	hl e, le		hl ē	
"goat"	b ē	(D. be)	bè	p é	pε ^γ ′
"Chinese"	k'ē	k'ē	k'ὲ		khe-

I tentatively interpret the irregularity in these cases as being due to the special character of these words, the first four being pronouns and a numeral and the last two being loanwords, but in fact the problem of original vowel length and/or final *-? might be involved here. For example, one could reconstruct *-εε? for our *-ε generally, *-ε? for "you"-"one" above, and *-εε for "Chinese".

In closed syllables, *i and *e have remained as such in most cases in all dialects, although *e is not attested well.

Examples:

*-iC	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"nine"	t'Im	(D. tim)	ti:m	lim
"bird"	s'īm, shīm	(D. sim)	sim	
"sleep"	It	ī	çi	it
"ripe, cooked"	sʻīn, shīn	i-s'īn	si:n	-
"mushroom"	tīr		đi:h, đi:χ	
"gold"	k'rīr	k'yī		kriu
*-eC				
"thin"	hr ē r	(D. hre)		rheo
"bamboo mat"	pēr	mpēr		
"go out, go down"	' l ē h	lē	$lih^{25)}$	**********
"bear"	k'rēr	-	krih, kri χ	*****

²⁴⁾ Ta-ang: gē<*g-. The initial of other dialects is *k-.

²⁵⁾ Ra-ang -i- is probably /e/ phonemically. Now compare "mushroom" *-is with the following: "sweep" Ta. pir, Ru. pi. Ra. bih, biχ. If this -ih, iχ is really different from /-ih/, something different from *-is must be reconstructed for this, say, *-is with a short vowel *i. (cf. Riang "mushroom" ti:s-, "sweep" pis-, which is the oppposite.)

However, *i, *e before velars show different developments especially in Rumai and Ra-ang.

*-iŋ	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"head"	kīng	kēn, kūn	kèŋ, kaıŋ	ging	ki:ŋ¯
"sew"	jīng	jēn, djēn			
"navel"	kạr-dịng	ka-dīn, -dēn	kădan(!)		-di:ŋ¯
*-ek					
"pig"	lĕ	lō-i	lé?		lek'
*-eŋ					
"sky"	pl ē ng	plān	pléŋ		$\mathrm{ple}\mathfrak{g}^-$
"yellow"	t'ēng	t'āng (D. tan)		deng, -leng	
"road"	ra-dēng	dān, (D. indawng)			rəŋdeŋ
"red"	r ē ng	gōn, i-gwāng	rén	reng	(ron')

We shall discuss *-ek, -en in relation to *-wk, -wn later again; see 3 Central Vowels.

The correspondences of proto-vowel $*\epsilon$ in closed syllables have not been established clealy. It has become in many cases -iə- or a similar diphthong in all dialects, though the data are insufficient to set up the correspondences in all environments. It might be better to reconstruct *iə for Proto-Palaung in such cases. However, apart from the problem of the date of diphthongization, 26 the diphthong no doubt goes back to $*\epsilon$, as attested by Lamet forms, and in Rumai and Ra-ang this $*\epsilon$ seems to be preserved before *-t.

	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
i) "small"	dyăt	dē, dε		-ti-et	
"lick"	l ē- ạt		lèt, lár?		liet'
ii) "wing"	pyāng	pyōng	pʻíəŋ, pʻyuŋ		pia ŋ -
"drink"	te-āng, tyāng			di-eng	(Lmt. $teen^-$)27)
"fat, oil"	pre-āng, pri-ōng	pi-̄̄ōng			(Lmt. $^{9}\epsilon\epsilon l^{-}$)
"chicken"	i-ā̞r	i-ō, i-ū	íər, í:r	yen(g)	(Lmt. $^{9}\epsilon\epsilon l^{-}$)
"moon, month"	-kyā̞r	pa-kyū	păkíər	mag-gyen	kier-
"root"	ri-ār		ríeh		(Lmt. rees')

²⁶⁾ It is diphtongized in Riang also.

²⁷⁾ Cited from my field notes. Y. Mitani, "A Descriptive and Comparative Study of the Khamet Phonology," (in Japanese), South East Asian Studies, 3-3 (1965), pp. 22-51.

The correspondences in the following examples are not parallel to those of *-\varepsilon C, and I tentatively reconstruct *-\varepsilon c for them.

"needle"	pạn-lĕ	bāng-lăt	pălè ^p	ma-laik	pənlaic '
"belly"	vĕ, vĕk	vă, wă, wăk (D. wat)	_	waik	
"sharp-pointed"	pξ		pái ⁹		paic ⁻
"spit"	bἔ		bár ²		

2 Back Vowels

Back vowels *-u, -o, -o in open syllables, like front vowels in the same environment, have been diphthongized in Rumai, Ra-ang and Darang.

	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
*-u	-ū	-e-au	-éu	-au, ao	-u ^p
*-O	- ō	-āu, -a-ō	-áu	-ao	-O ^P
*-0	- <u>ō</u>	-āu, -a-ō	-áu	- 0	-o ^p
Examples:					
*-u	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"sick, painful"	s'ū	s'e-au, s'au	séu	sau	s'u ²⁻
"thigh"	blŭ (S./blu/)	ble- au	bléu, pláu	plao	plu ^{>\}
"curry"	tū	te-au	ɗéu		s'ətu ^{> -}
*-O					
"husked rice"	ra-kō	la-kau	răkáu	t'gao	ko?-
"stone"	mō	māu, ma-āu	máu	mao	s'əmo
"dream"	rīn-pō	ām-pa-ō	m-báu	m'bao	
*-0					
"paddy"	hngō	$\mathrm{hny}\widecheck{\mathrm{au}}$	h ŋ áu	ngo	ŋo ^{ɔ-}
"dog"	s ʻ ̄ō	s'a-ō̄		so	s'o'
"I"		āu	$\alpha(?)$	О	o ?-

In the following examples, howevere, *-u is not diphthongized in any dialect. One could possibly reconstruct *-u? for these and *-uu? for our *-u above.

"breast, milk"	b ū	mbū("suc	k'') bu	-pu	cf. Ri. bu ⁹⁻
"towards"	jū	djū			

The correspondences of back vowels in closed syllables vary according to the finals. Not all vowel-final sequences are attested, but the following changes can be mentioned:

(i) In Ta-ang, *> before *-t, -n, -s, -r (but not -l) and -y has been diphthongized to -u--.

*-ot, -on:	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"smoke, absorb"	ny ū- ǫt	$(\mathrm{ng}ar{\mathrm{q}})$	-	nyawt
"away"	pwŏt			$(Ky. \ breve{q}t)^{28)}$
"child"	kw̄o̞n	k̄ōn	kən	gawn
"all, every"	tw ē n, tu-ēn	t̄Qn		
*-os, -or				
"heart"	nu-ār	nō	noh, nauh	nogh
"price"	ngwör, etc.	$ng\bar{o}$	ŋ ɔh, ŋauh	
"calf, leg"	pwǫr, etc.	pō	445-24-44	
*- > y				
"ffy(n.)"	ru-wāī, etc.		róı	
"live, be"	gwāī	gō-i		(?)koi "sit"

(ii) In Rumai, *u, o²⁹⁾ before labials have become - ϵ -; * τ before *- κ (>- τ) and *-s, -r, -l (> ϕ) has become -o-. (But * τ) before *-h and *-y corresponds sometimes to -o- and sometimes to - τ -, and whether the distinction of -o- vs. - τ - was always recorded accurately may be doubted.)

*-up, -um	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	
"Shan hat"	-	klĕp		klup	
"below"	$krar{u}m$	kε-kȳεm		i-krum	
"lump"	kạn-l u m	ka-lēm	-		
*-op, -om					
"blanket"	hōp	hēp, heb-			
"water"	ōm	₹m	om, um, Ym,	um	
			εm		
*-ɔk					
"full"	nğ	nŏ	nuk		
"ear"	hyŏ	s'ŏ, chŏ	hyu ^ə	heo,-hyo	
"ascend"	hŏ	hŏ	With the same of t		
-ol (-os, -or: see (i))					
"six"	tōr	tō	to	naw	

(iii) In Ra-ang, *o before *-s, -h (both >-h) became -o-, and *o in the same environment became -o-~-au-; *o before *-k and *o before *-t, -n correspond to -u-, but the phonemic status of -u- in Ra-ang is not clear.

*-os, -oh: Ta. Ru. Ra. Da. "barking deer" p'or — bɔh, bɔ χ , bɔs bwa

²⁸⁾ Kyusao, from the Appendix of Milne's dictionary, is very close to Darang.

²⁹⁾ As well as *u: see (3) Central Vowels.

```
"flower"
                    pōh
                                  рō
                                                ɓŏh
                                                               bogh
*-os:
                    "heart", "price" (above)
*-oh:
  "nape of neck"
                    kar-ngōh
                                                kănoh, -nauh —
  "kill"
                                                noh, nah(?)
                                  ngō
*-ok:
                    "full", "ear" (above)
*-ot, -on:
  "sward"
                    bōt
                                  bō
                                                but
                                                               pu-wat
  "four"
                    p'ōn
                                  p'un
                                                p'un
                                                               pu-on
                                  (D. p'on)
```

(iv) In Darang, *o before *-t, -n, -s and -y has become -uə-; *o is written sometimes -aw and sometimes -o but whether they represent different vowels is not clear.

```
*-ot, -on: "sward", "four" (above)

*-os: "barking deer" (above)

*-oy:

"mouth" — mō-i — mwé /muəy/

"three" (u-ai) (ō-i)30) oé u-wé /uɔy/
```

3 Central Vowels

In open syllables, only two central vowels *-w and *-a are reconstructed for Proto-Palaung. Strictly speaking, the correspondence of *-w in "night", "father-in-law" and that of "name" are different, the former suggesting *-Y and the latter *-w. But according to Shorto, he did not find a phonemic contrast in his Ta-ang corresponding to that of Milne's ü and ö, his/w/ being close [w] after palatals and more open [Y] after labials, 31) and this may be true with other dialects also. Examples:

*-w	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Du.
"see"	уṻ́	· zyū		yö
"night"	(ra-)hmö		hm¥	
"father-in-law"	pö			bö
"name"	(ra-)jṻ	djū	juu	an-hsü
*-a				
"mother"	m ā	m ā	ma	ma
"fish"	kā	(D. ka)	ka	ga
"eight"	t a	(D. ta)	ta	n'da
"trousers"	są-lā	sε-lā	-	sa-lá

³⁰⁾ Ta-ang and Rumai forms are *3y.

³¹⁾ Shorto, op. cit.

In closed syllables, however, at least four central vowels must be reconstructed. I tentatively reconstruct two long vowels *w and *a and two short vowels *w and *a. The contrast of the four vowels can be best illustrated by the correspondences beofre *-ŋ:

	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
*-uŋ	-ṻng	-ān(g), ōृn	-én, an, a ŋ	-eng	n (:)c-
*-ŭŋ	-jīng, ö̈ng	-āng	-aŋ	-ang	-əŋ
*-ăŋ	-āng	- ā ng	-aŋ	-awng	-aŋ
*-aŋ	- ā ng	-āng	$-a(:)\mathfrak{h}$	-āng	-a(:)Ŋ
Examples:					
*- w ŋ:	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"foot"	jṻng	j a ng, -j a n	djén, dján	cheng	$co(:)\mathfrak{y}'$
"thread"	s'ṻng	sʻān, sʻ̄oृn	sén, saŋ		
*-wŋ:					
"tree"	tīng, töng	t ā ng	taŋ, tɪŋ, téŋ³²)	dang	təŋ¯
"flesh"	y ị ng	y ā ng	ya ŋ		yə ŋ'
"bamboo"	hrīng		hraŋ	rang	rəŋ¯
"cook (rice)"	tīng, töng	tāng			
*-ăŋ:					
"horse"	brāng	mbi -ā ng	bra ŋ	m'prawng	mə raŋʻ
"stand"	j ā ng	(D. jang)		hsawng	
"bitter"	s'āng	(B. tsang)	saŋ		caŋ¯
"thatch"	plāng		$\operatorname{pla}{\mathfrak g}(?)$		$plan^-$
*-aŋ:					
"elephant"	sāng	sāng	sa:ŋ	sāng	saŋ-
"tooth"	hr ā ng	h(w) ā ng	hra ŋ	rāng	ra(:)ŋ-
"bone"	ka -ā ng	ka-āng	kă ^p aŋ	ka- ā ng	cən ^ə a ŋ -
"big"	dāng	$\epsilon ext{-}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\bar{a}}\mathrm{ng}$		tāng	

The second and the third series are reconstructed as short vowels for they both correspond to Shorto's /-əŋ/, and this vowel /ə/ is, according to Shorto, characterized by a markedly shorter duration.

The contrast of the four vowels can be seen also before *-k, though the correspondence of *-āk is not clear.

*-wk:	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"side (of body)"	prŏ	py ō-i		

³²⁾ Ra. tin, tén are probably Standard forms (i.e. Ta-ang).

"rise"	уŭ	(D. yoi)		*****
*-ŭk:				
"cow, ox"	mük	măk	*******	măk
"choke (in swallowing)"	nŭk	năk	Protocol	
"smoke (n.)"	tö	(B. tak)		
*-ăk:				
"love"	rạk	lŏk(?)		rawk, rŏk
"bite"	gă		ga ⁹ , gak(?)	
"choke (bone in throat)"	h ă, hăk	hăk	hak (vomit)	
*-ak:				
"buffalo"	kră	kyă	kra?	gra
"corsslous"	ă		α^{p}	a

The reason for reconstructing *-wk for "side (of body)" and "rise" may not be apparent, but actually the correspondence is paperallel to that of *-wn. Compare the correspondences of *-ek and *-en. I propose the following changes: (i) *-wk, -wn were first fronted and merged with *-ek, -en in Rumai, Ra-ang and Darang; (ii) in Rumai, *-k finally dropped, probably via *-k>-p>-\phi, and original *-e, -ek, -wk have all merged together, finally becoming -\overline{\rho}i; (iii) similarly, *-en from both original *-en and *-wn became -\overline{\rho}n, -\overline{\rho}n in Rumai and -\overline{\rho}n, -\overline{\rho}n, -\overline{\rho}n in Ra-ang.\(^{33}\)

Not only *-wk and *-wn must have the same vowel, but most probably the vowel is the same as *-w in open syllables. In "foot", the vowel corresponds to -o- in Riang. There are similar correspondences of Ta-ang ü, ö and Riang o in other environments, as in the examples below, and the correspondence before *-l, -s among the Palaung dialects is exactly the same as that of *-w.

*-wl	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"ten"	kör	kö	k¥	gö	-kəl-
"vomit"	h ū r	hữ	h¥		həl-
*-ws					
"porcupine"	ī-kṻ́r	Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary	ăk¥h		rənkəs-
*-wm					
"night"	rīn-s'öm	kai-s'ēm			-s'am-
"heart"	p'ö̈m	p' ē m		********	p'om(soul)
*-wn					
"get"	bön	bōn	b ¥ n	*********	bon-

³³⁾ Variations in Ra-ang may be due to borrowing from Rumai dialects.

"silver" $r \bar{u} n$ $g \bar{o} n$ r Y n $r \bar{o} n$ (ron')

We have seen that *-\vec{w}\eta\) has merged with *-\vec{a}\eta\) in Rumai and Ra-ang, although the contrast has been preserved in Ta-ang and Darang. This merger of *\vec{w}\) and *\vec{a}\ seems to have progressed in other environments more extensively, even in Ta-ang and Darang, and without apparent regularities, so that it is sometimes difficult to distinguish *\vec{w}\) from *\vec{a}\. However, 'Palaung of Nam Hsan' (NS.) in GUB^{34} seems somewhat more conservative in this point, *-\vec{w}C usually corresponding to -uC and *-\vec{a}C to -aC, and by taking this dialect into consideration I have tentatively set up the following correspondence sets:

	Ta.	NS.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
∫*-ŭit	ặt, ü̈t	ūt	(at)	-	ut	
*-ăt	ặt, ăt	at	ā, (aw)	at	at	
∫*-ŭin	ạn, ön	ūn, ŭn	ā n	an	un, an	ən
l∗-ăn	ạn	an	ān	an	an	an
{*- ŭp	ạp, öp				ap	(up)
l∗-ăp	ạp, ăp		ā, ă		ap	
∫*-ŭm	ām, öm, üm, īm	ūm	ām	am	am	əm
(*-ăm	ām	am	ām	am	am	am
*-ŭñ	īng	(ain)	ān	an	ain(g)	əiñ
Examples:						
*-ŭt:	Ta.	NS.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"thick"	hặt	$hk\overline{u}t^{35)}$	(D. hat)		hut	-
"pull"	t'ặt, t'üt	tūt			dut	
*-ăt:						
"near"	dặt	dat	(D. in-daw, B. d ā)		n'tat	
"old"			gā	gat	kat	
*-ŭn:						
"woman, wife"	I- pạn, -pỗn	bī-pūn	ī-p ā n	é ı- pan	i-bun	
"behind"	ra-b ạ n	la-b ŭ n	$l\epsilon$ - $b\bar{u}n(!)$	-	i-pan	_
"he, she, it"	ạ n	ūn, un	ang (B. an)	an	an	ən-
*-ăn:						
"five"	p'ạn	hpan	pʻ a n	p'an	pan	k-han-

³⁴⁾ NS. is very close to Ta-ang; e.g. without diphthongization of vowels in open syllables. The accuracy of the data is most doubtful, however.

³⁵⁾ Probably k-hut.

*-шр:						
"net"	ra-rap, -röp	untered resignar	(S. răp)		rap	rup
*-ăp					_	-
"know"	nặp	B	nă	anadam,		
"dark"	ăp		ā	All Andread III	ap	
"roof"	dặp		(S. da)			
*-ŭm:						
i)"rich"	krīm	-kr u m	kyām		kram	
"black"	yīm	i-y u m				
"soft"	jīm, jūm	Alleri (man	(B. dzam)			
ii)"to plant"	s'ōm, s'ām	with the state of		sam		pəks'əm¯
"beeswax"	plōm, plạm		pl ā m			
iii)"medicine"	s'ą-nām	se-nūm	se-n ā m	sănam	sa-nam	s'ənəm '
*-ăm:						
"die"	yām	yam	je- a m, jy a m	yam	yam	yam '
*-ĭŭñ:						
"snake"	hịng	***************	han	han ⁹		həiñ-
"star"	sā-ming	să-main	(D. sa-man)	săman	si-main	səkməiñ '
"fat"	klįng		klān		glaing	
"shoot"	ping		Market Company	pain(?)		pəiñ-
An exceptional	case is *ŭr,	which has be	ecome -ū in I	Rumai.		
*-ŭr:						
"to fly"	pạr	distance.	рṻ́			pər-
*-ăr, -ăl:			-			
"cord, rope"	vār	wer	vai, wai		wăn	$(nuar^{-})^{36}$
"fire"	ngār	ner	ngāī	ηάι, ηο	ngaw	ŋal\
cf. *-ăs				-		
'swell''	āŗ		***************************************	ah	-	as ⁻
"charcoal"	ka-sʻār			kăsah(?)	-	kərcas-

As compared with the distinction between *-\vec{w}C and *-\vec{a}C, it is usually easy to distinguish *-aC from -\vec{a}C, especially by the forms of Ta-ang and Ra-ang.

*-at	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.
"scrape"	k'āt	k'ā	******	
"hot"	tāt	(B. tă)	-	anno de la compansa d

³⁶⁾ From *hn-war (?).

*-an:				
"Burmese"	brān	mbi-ān	bran, brön	_
"ask"	hmān		hman, hmɔ̃n	
"hungry, thirsty"	kạn-br ā n	ka-byān		
*-am:				
"sweet"	ngām	(B. gnam)	ŋam-	-ngām
"blood"	hnām	(B. hnam)	hnam	nām
"cry"	yām	dj ā m	ya:m	
*-as:				
"laugh"	_	kin-yā (D. ka-nia)	kăñah	
*-ar:				
"hill field"	mār	mā	mar	mān

One problem to be mentioned here is a possible contrast of *-ah and *-ăh in Proto-Palaung. The vowel of "hundred" in Darang suggests *-ăh, in contrast to *-ah of "wide". However, there does not seem to be such a contrast in other dialects. Especially, Ra-ang has both -ah and -ah synchronically, and if there was a contrast of *-ah vs. *-ăh, it seems more probable that it would have been preserved in Ra-ang.

*-ah/ăh(?)				
"wide"	vāh	(D. ka-wa)		wagh
"hundred"	pạ-ri-āh	pe-jā	păyah	m ē- yawgh
	/pəryah/	(D. paiya)		
"say"	dāh	dā		

III Finals

The inventory of Proto-Palaung finals is the following:

The possibility of a final *-? in Proto-Palaung was mentioned already.

Final palatals *-c, -ñ, -y have been reconstructed in certain *-VC, such as *-uc, *-mñ, *-ay, etc., in the last chapter. There is no good example of *-w. There oc-

curred certain changes with the final stops, such as the drop of *-t in the Rumai group, but generally there is no difficulty in reconstructing final stops and nasals. Thus the main problem to be discussed in this chapter is the reconstruction of final liquids and spirants *-r, -l, -s, -h.

As was pointed out by Shafer, Proto-Palaungic had final *-r, -l, -s, -h, the contrast being preserved in Riang.³⁷⁾ In Ta-ang, however, there are only two final continuants: -r, a voiceless alveolar fricative(?) [a] according to Shorto, and -h. However, the correspondence between the four Palaung dialects clearly shows that Proto-Palaung had preserved all four finals. The correspondences can be summarized in the following way:

	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
*-r		(_v/*ă	∫ø/*back, *ш—	-n, -ng	-r
*-]	-r	$\begin{cases} -y/^{+}a - \\ \phi \end{cases}$	Tr	{-w/i, e	-1
*-s		4	_h38)		- s
*-h	-h	φ	-11	-gh, <i>φ</i>	-φ

Examples:					
*-r:	Ta.	Ru.	Ra.	Da.	cf. Ri.
"iron"	hīr			hing	hir ⁻
"bee"	pyār	pyū, pyū	pʻriər		
"fowl"	i-ār	i-ō, i-ū	íər, í:r	yen, -iyeng	yer-
"moon"	-kyār	pa-kyū	păkíər	mag-gyen	kier-
"wind"	kūr	kū	k'u	kun	kur-
"skin"	hūr	$h\bar{u}$	hu	hu-in ³⁹⁾	hu:r-
"hill field"	mār		mar	m ā n	mar'
"to fly"	pạr	рṻ́			pər-
"cord, rope"	vār	vai, wai		wăn	
*-l:					
"gold"	k'r ī r	k'yī		kriu/-iw/	
"thin"	hr ē r	(D. hre)		rheo/-ew/	
"seven"	pūr	р 	pu	bu	pul-
"bag"	hūr			hu	
"six"	tōr	tō	to	naw	tual-

³⁷⁾ Shafer, op. cit.

³⁸⁾ Probably the merger is recent. Sometimes *-s is preserved.

³⁹⁾ Probably /huñ/. But the condition for the split of *-r to -n and -ñ (sometimes -ng) is not clear.

"ten"	kör	kō	k¥	gö	-kəl-
"vomit"	hṻ́r	hū	h¥		hol-
"mortar"	pār		bar		pal-
"fire"	ngār	ngāi	ŋ၁, ŋáɪ ⁴⁰⁾	ngaw	ŋal\
*-S					
"sweep"	pīr	рī	бıh, бıχ		pi:s-
"mushroom"	tīr		đi:h, đi:χ		tis-
"bear"	k'rēr		krīh, krīχ		kres-
"root"	ri-ār		ríeh		rias'
"foam"	$b\bar{u}r$		buh		bus-
"barking deer"	p'ōr		bəh, bə χ , bəs	bwa	pos-
"nose"	-mūr	-mū	muh	mu	
"heart"	nu-ār	nō	noh, nauh	nogh	kənuas'
"price"	ngwŏr	ngō	ŋɔh, ŋauh		
"porcupine"	ī-kṻ́r		ăk¥h		rənkəs-
*-h					
"drop"	jōh	jō, jǫ		shogh	
"flower"	pōh	pū	Бэh	bogh	po-
"hundred"	pạ-ri-āh	pe-ja	păyah	mē-yawgh	pərya ʻ
"say"	dāh	da			da
"wide"	vāh			wagh	
"go down, go out"	l ē h	lē	līh		le'

⁴⁰⁾ It is difficult to decide which of -ar, -a, -a is the regular form corresponding to *-al.